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Abstract

The creation of personalized learning experiences is considered as a necessity to cope with the overwhelming amount of available learning material. This paper presents a personalization framework and an algorithm that allows for the creation of pedagogically sound learning experiences taking into account the variety of the Learners and their individual needs.

1. Introduction

The “one-size-fits-all” solutions are no longer enough to satisfy the Learners’ educational needs. Different Learners have different learning styles, educational levels, previous knowledge, technical and other preferences and all these parameters affect the learning function outcome. Moreover, the wealth of content in learning object repositories call for flexible solutions where content is not strictly bound with the learning plan but could be retrieved at run-time and from many sources. Related research areas include Adaptive Hypermedia, Intelligent Tutoring Systems, and Semantic Web [3]. Although each area treats adaptivity from a different perspective, there is a convergence that pedagogy is important and should be represented in a consistent and reusable manner without direct binding to learning resources at design time.

The proposed framework is able to automatically create personalized learning experiences in the form of SCORM packages using reusable learning objects in order to satisfy Learner needs and preferences expressed in Learner Profiles. To achieve this, the system consults Learning Designs (i.e. pedagogical templates) that describe how certain subjects should be taught. This framework has been implemented in a service-oriented architecture built on an experimental digital library of audiovisual content [1].

The main component of the personalization framework (Figure 1) is the Personalization Component. It takes into account the Learner Profile and finds an appropriate Learning Design that will is thereafter applied to the construction of learning experience by binding specific learning objects to learning activities using information from the Learner’s profile. Finally, the Transformation Component creates a SCORM package from the intermediate representation of the learning experience.

LOM is used for the description of learning objects providing a consistent representation that facilitates their retrieval from repositories. If this framework is applied on top of digital libraries, the approach we presented in [1] can be used in order to support multiple context views of digital objects. Information about the learning objectives of each object is important in order to apply this framework. We define a learning objective as a pair of a verb taken from a subset of Bloom’s taxonomy [2] (e.g. defines etc.) and a topic referencing a concept or individual of a domain ontology. This can be expressed in LOM using its classification element.

It is assumed that a SCORM compliant LMS is used to deliver the personalized learning experience to the Learner and keep the Learner profile up to date. A special tool, called Learning Designs Editor has been also implemented for the creation of Learning Designs.

2. Learning Designs

Learning Designs are abstract training scenarios that are constructed according to an instructional ontology
Training is composed of TrainingMethods that are different ways for teaching the same subject depending on the LearningStyle and EducationalLevel of the Learner. A TrainingMethod consists of reusable ActivityStructures built from Activities. Each Training, ActivityStructure and Activity has a LearningObjective structured as defined earlier. The LearningObjectType is used to describe the learning object properties without binding specific objects with Activities at design time. The related_with property can further restrict the preferred learning objects connecting them with DomainConcepts or individuals in a domain ontology.

3. Learner Profiles

We focus on the elements that should be included in a Learner Model to support the framework presented here (Figure 3). A LearnerGoal is expressed in terms of LearningObjectives using the structure presented above. It has a status property (float in [0, 1]) indicating the satisfaction level of the goal so that one can also infer the previous knowledge of the Learner. The Learner can also define a priority for each LearnerGoal. Several types of Preferences are used: EducationalLevel and LearningStyle matching with the corresponding elements of the instructional ontology, Language, LearningProvider who makes available the learning objects, LearningPlanner (the developer of learning designs) and Technical preferences.

4. The Personalization Component

The Personalization Component considers the Learning Designs and the Learner Profiles and constructs personalized learning experiences that are delivered to eLearning applications in SCORM format. The goal is to find an appropriate learning design that will be used thereafter to construct a learning experience adapted to the Learner’s needs. Learning objects are bound to the learning scenario at run-time.
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